

CENTRAL EXTENSIONS AND CONFORMAL DERIVATIONS OF A CLASS OF LIE CONFORMAL ALGEBRAS

YANYONG HONG

ABSTRACT. A quadratic Lie conformal algebra corresponds to a Hamiltonian pair in [11], which plays fundamental roles in completely integrable systems. Moreover, it also corresponds to certain compatible pairs of a Lie algebra and a Novikov algebra which is called Gel'fand-Dorfman bialgebra by Xu in [21]. In this paper, central extensions and conformal derivations of quadratic Lie conformal algebras are studied in terms of Gel'fand-Dorfman bialgebras. It is shown that central extensions and conformal derivations of a quadratic Lie conformal algebra are related with some bilinear forms and some operators of the corresponding Gel'fand-Dorfman bialgebra respectively.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of vertex algebra was formulated by R.Borcherds in [5], which is a rigorous mathematical definition of the chiral part of a 2-dimensional quantum field theory studied intensively by physicists since the landmark paper [3]. Lie conformal algebra introduced by V.Kac in [14], [15] is an axiomatic description of the operator product expansion (or rather its Fourier transform) of chiral fields in conformal field theory. It is, to some extent, related to a vertex algebra in the same way Lie algebra is related to its universal enveloping algebra. It turns out to be a valuable tool in studying vertex algebras. In addition, Lie conformal algebras have close connections to Hamiltonian formalism in the theory of nonlinear evolution equations (see the book [9] and references therein, and also [1, 11, 23, 22] and many other papers).

Moreover, in the purely algebraic viewpoint, conformal algebras are quite intriguing subjects. One can define the conformal analogue of a variety of “usual” algebras such as Lie conformal algebras, associative conformal algebras, etc. The theory of some variety of conformal algebras sheds new light on the problem of classification of infinite-dimensional algebras of the corresponding “classical” variety. In particular, Lie conformal algebras give us powerful tools for the study of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras satisfying the locality property in [16].

The topic of this paper is about Lie conformal algebra. Simple (or semisimple) Lie conformal algebras have been intensively investigated. A complete classification of finite simple (or semisimple) Lie conformal algebras is given in [8], all irreducible finite conformal modules of finite simple (or semisimple) Lie conformal algebras are

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B40, 17B65, 17B68, 17B69.

Key words and phrases. Lie conformal algebra, Gel'fand-Dorfman bialgebra, conformal derivation, central extension.

Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11501515), the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No. LQ16A010011) and the Scientific Research Foundation of Zhejiang Agriculture and Forestry University (No.2013FR081).

classified in [6, 7] and cohomology groups of finite simple Lie conformal algebras with some conformal modules are characterized in [2]. Recently, some non-simple Lie conformal algebras are introduced and their structures including central extensions, conformal derivations, conformal modules of rank one are studied. For example, two new nonsimple Lie conformal algebras are studied in [19] which are obtained from two formal distribution Lie algebras, i.e. Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra and the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra. Similarly, the Lie conformal algebras of the loop Virasoro Lie algebra, loop Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie algebra and a block type Lie algebra are studied in [20], [10] and [12] respectively. In fact, all these Lie conformal algebras including the semisimple Lie conformal algebras are quadratic Lie conformal algebras named by Xu in [21]. A quadratic Lie conformal algebra corresponds to a Hamiltonian pair in [11], which plays fundamental roles in completely integrable systems. Moreover, it also corresponds to certain compatible pairs of a Lie algebra and a Novikov algebra which is called Gel'fand-Dorfman bialgebra by Xu in [21]. In this paper, we plan to study central extensions and conformal derivations of quadratic Lie conformal algebras in a unified form. It is shown that central extensions of some quadratic Lie conformal algebras including those corresponding to the simple Novikov algebras or Novikov algebras with a left unit or a right unit can be directly obtained from some bilinear forms of the corresponding Gel'fand-Dorfman bialgebras satisfying several equalities. In addition, we show that conformal derivations of some quadratic Lie conformal algebras including those corresponding to the Novikov algebras with a left unit can be obtained from some operators of the corresponding Novikov algebras.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the definitions of Lie conformal algebra and quadratic Lie conformal algebra are recalled. In Section 3, central extensions of quadratic Lie conformal algebras are studied in terms of Gel'fand-Dorfman bialgebras. As an application, we characterize central extensions of several specific quadratic Lie conformal algebras. Moreover, it is shown that certain central extensions of coefficient algebra of a quadratic Lie conformal algebra can be obtained from some bilinear forms on the corresponding Gel'fand-Dorfman bialgebra. In Section 4, we study conformal derivations of quadratic Lie conformal algebras in terms of Gel'fand-Dorfman bialgebras. Moreover, conformal derivations of several specific quadratic Lie conformal algebras are determined.

Throughout this paper, denote by $\mathbb{C}$ the field of complex numbers; $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of natural numbers, i.e. $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$; $\mathbb{Z}$ is the set of integer numbers. All tensors over $\mathbb{C}$ are denoted by $\otimes$. Moreover, if A is a vector space, the space of polynomials of λ with coefficients in A is denoted by $A[\lambda]$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we will recall the definitions of Lie conformal algebra and quadratic Lie conformal algebra. These facts can be referred to [14] and [21].

Definition 2.1. A Lie conformal algebra R is a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module with a λ -bracket $[\cdot_\lambda \cdot]$ which defines a $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear map from $R \times R \rightarrow R[\lambda]$, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial a_\lambda b] &= -\lambda[a_\lambda b], \quad [a_\lambda \partial b] = (\lambda + \partial)[a_\lambda b], \quad (\text{conformal sesquilinearity}) \\ [a_\lambda b] &= -[b_{-\lambda-\partial} a], \quad (\text{skew-symmetry}) \\ [a_\lambda [b_\mu c]] &= [[a_\lambda b]_{\lambda+\mu} c] + [b_\mu [a_\lambda c]], \quad (\text{Jacobi identity}) \end{aligned}$$

for $a, b, c \in R$.

In addition, there is an important Lie algebra associated with a Lie conformal algebra. Assume that R is a Lie conformal algebra and set $[a_\lambda b] = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} a_{(n)} b$ where $a_{(n)} b \in R$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\text{Coeff}(R)$ be the quotient of the vector space with basis a_n ($a \in R, n \in \mathbb{Z}$) by the subspace spanned over $\mathbb{C}$ by elements:

$$(\alpha a)_n - \alpha a_n, (a + b)_n - a_n - b_n, (\partial a)_n + n a_{n-1}, \text{ where } a, b \in R, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The operation on $\text{Coeff}(R)$ is defined as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad [a_m, b_n] = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \binom{m}{j} (a_{(j)} b)_{m+n-j}.$$

Then, $\text{Coeff}(R)$ is a Lie algebra and it is called the *coefficient algebra* of R (see [14]).

Next, let us introduce some examples of Lie conformal algebras.

Example 2.2. The Virasoro Lie conformal algebra Vir is the simplest nontrivial example of Lie conformal algebras. It is defined by

$$\text{Vir} = \mathbb{C}[\partial]L, [L_\lambda L] = (\partial + 2\lambda)L.$$

$\text{Coeff}(\text{Vir})$ is just the Witt algebra. The nontrivial central extension $\widehat{\text{Vir}} = \text{Vir} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}$ of Vir is as follows ($\mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}$ is a trivial $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module):

$$[L_\lambda L] = (\partial + 2\lambda)L + \frac{1}{12}\lambda^3 \mathbf{c}, [L_\lambda \mathbf{c}] = 0.$$

Example 2.3. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra. The current Lie conformal algebra associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined by:

$$\text{Curg} = \mathbb{C}[\partial] \otimes \mathfrak{g}, [a_\lambda b] = [a, b], a, b \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Moreover, we can define a semi-direct sum of Vir and Curg . The $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module $\text{Vir} \oplus \text{Curg}$ can be given a Lie conformal algebra structure by

$$[L_\lambda L] = (\partial + 2\lambda)L, [a_\lambda b] = [a, b], [L_\lambda a] = (\partial + \lambda)a,$$

L being the standard generator of Vir , $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Then, we introduce a class of special Lie conformal algebras named quadratic Lie conformal algebras (see [21]).

Definition 2.4. R is a *quadratic Lie conformal algebra*, if $R = \mathbb{C}[\partial]V$ is a Lie conformal algebra as a free $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module and the λ -bracket is of the following form:

$$[a_\lambda b] = \partial u + \lambda v + w,$$

where $a, b, u, v, w \in V$.

Remark 2.5. Obviously, Vir , Curg and $\text{Vir} \oplus \text{Curg}$ where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra are quadratic Lie conformal algebras. Moreover, all Lie conformal algebras introduced in [19, 20, 10, 12] are quadratic Lie conformal algebras.

For giving an equivalent characterization of quadratic Lie conformal algebras, we first introduce the definitions of Novikov algebra and Gel'fand-Dorfman bialgebra.

Definition 2.6. A *Novikov algebra* V is a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with a bilinear product $\circ : V \times V \rightarrow V$ satisfying (for any $a, b, c \in V$):

$$(2.2) \quad (a \circ b) \circ c - a \circ (b \circ c) = (b \circ a) \circ c - b \circ (a \circ c),$$

$$(2.3) \quad (a \circ b) \circ c = (a \circ c) \circ b.$$

If for any $a \in V$, there exists $x \in V$ such that $x \circ a = a$ or $a \circ x = a$, then x is called a *left unit* or *right unit* of $(V, \circ)$. If an element in V is not only a left unit but also a right unit, we call it a *unit*. If I is a subspace of a Novikov algebra $(V, \circ)$ and $V \circ I \subset I$, $I \circ V \subset I$, then I is called an *ideal* of $(V, \circ)$. Obviously, 0 and V are ideals of $(V, \circ)$, which are called *trivial*. $(V, \circ)$ is called *simple*, if $(V, \circ)$ has only trivial ideals and $a \circ b \neq 0$ for some $a, b \in V$.

Remark 2.7. Novikov algebra was essentially stated in [11] that it corresponds to a certain Hamiltonian operator. Such an algebraic structure also appeared in [4] from the point of view of Poisson structures of hydrodynamic type. The name “Novikov algebra” was given by Osborn in [17].

Definition 2.8. (see [11] or [21]) A *Gel’fand-Dorfman bialgebra* V is a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with two algebraic operations $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and $\circ$ such that $(V, [\cdot, \cdot])$ forms a Lie algebra, $(V, \circ)$ forms a Novikov algebra and the following compatibility condition holds:

$$(2.4) \quad [a \circ b, c] - [a \circ c, b] + [a, b] \circ c - [a, c] \circ b - a \circ [b, c] = 0,$$

for a, b , and $c \in V$. We usually denote it by $(V, \circ, [\cdot, \cdot])$.

Remark 2.9. Obviously, by the definition of Gel’fand-Dorfman bialgebra, any Lie algebra with the trivial Novikov algebra structure and any Novikov algebra with the trivial Lie algebra structure are Gel’fand-Dorfman bialgebras. In addition, a Gel’fand-Dorfman bialgebra $(V, \circ, [\cdot, \cdot])$ corresponds to a Hamiltonian operator H in the formal calculus of variations with matrix components H_{ij} (the detail about this operator including the meanings of $u_m^{(0)}$, $u_m^{(1)}$ and $\frac{d}{dx}$ can be referred to [11]):

$$H_{ij} = \sum_m a_{ij}^m u_m^{(0)} + c_{ij}^m u_m^{(1)} + (c_{ij}^m + c_{ji}^m) u_m^{(0)} \frac{d}{dx},$$

where $\{a_{ij}^m\}$ is the set of structure constants of $(V, [\cdot, \cdot])$ and $\{c_{ij}^m\}$ is the set of structure constants of $(V, \circ)$. These Hamiltonian operators play fundamental roles in completely integrable systems.

An equivalent characterization of quadratic Lie conformal algebra is presented as follows.

Theorem 2.10. (see [11] or [21]) $R = \mathbb{C}[\partial]V$ is a quadratic Lie conformal algebra if and only if the λ -bracket of R is given as follows

$$[a_\lambda b] = \partial(b \circ a) + [b, a] + \lambda(b \circ a + a \circ b), a, b \in V,$$

and $(V, \circ, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Gel’fand-Dorfman bialgebra. Therefore, R is called the quadratic Lie conformal algebra corresponding to the Gel’fand-Dorfman bialgebra $(V, \circ, [\cdot, \cdot])$.

Finally, we introduce some notations about the Gel’fand-Dorfman bialgebra $(V, \circ, [\cdot, \cdot])$.

Denote $a * b = a \circ b + b \circ a$ for any $a, b \in V$. Then, $(V, *)$ is a commutative but not (usually) associative algebra. Therefore, the λ -bracket of a quadratic Lie conformal algebra can be written as

$$(2.5) \quad [a_\lambda b] = \partial(b \circ a) + [b, a] + \lambda(a * b), a, b \in V.$$

And, for convenience, the coefficient algebra of the Lie conformal algebra corresponding to $(V, \circ, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(V)$.

Moreover, if a Gel'fand-Dorfman bialgebra is a Novikov algebra with the trivial Lie algebra structure (or a Lie algebra with the trivial Novikov algebra structure), for convenience, we usually say the quadratic Lie conformal algebra corresponds to the Novikov algebra (or to the Lie algebra). Obviously, if R is a quadratic Lie conformal algebra corresponding to a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, R is just the current Lie conformal algebra Curg .

3. CENTRAL EXTENSIONS OF QUADRATIC LIE CONFORMAL ALGEBRAS

In this section, we will study central extensions of quadratic Lie conformal algebras.

An extension of a Lie conformal algebra R by an abelian Lie conformal algebra C is a short exact sequence of Lie conformal algebras

$$0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow \widehat{R} \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0.$$

$\widehat{R}$ is called an *extension* of R by C in this case. This extension is called *central* if $\partial C = 0$ and $[C_\lambda \widehat{R}] = 0$.

In the following, we focus on the central extension $\widehat{R}$ of R by a one-dimensional center $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$. This implies that $\widehat{R} = R \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$, and

$$[a_\lambda b]_{\widehat{R}} = [a_\lambda b]_R + \alpha_\lambda(a, b)\mathfrak{c}, \text{ for all } a, b \in R,$$

where $\alpha_\lambda : R \times R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear map. By the axioms of Lie conformal algebra, α_λ should satisfy the following properties (for all $a, b, c \in R$) :

$$(3.1) \quad \alpha_\lambda(\partial a, b) = -\lambda \alpha_\lambda(a, b) = -\alpha_\lambda(a, \partial b),$$

$$(3.2) \quad \alpha_\lambda(a, b) = -\alpha_{-\lambda}(b, a),$$

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha_\lambda(a, [b_\mu c]) - \alpha_\mu(b, [a_\lambda c]) = \alpha_{\lambda+\mu}([a_\lambda b], c).$$

Then, central extensions of quadratic Lie conformal algebras by a one-dimensional center $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$ are characterized as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\widehat{R} = R \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$ be a central extension of quadratic Lie conformal algebra $R = \mathbb{C}[\partial]V$ corresponding to $(V, \circ, [\cdot, \cdot])$ by a one-dimensional center $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$. Set the λ -bracket of $\widehat{R}$ by*

$$(3.4) \quad [\widetilde{a_\lambda b}] = \partial(b \circ a) + \lambda(a * b) + [b, a] + \alpha_\lambda(a, b)\mathfrak{c},$$

where $a, b \in V$ and $\alpha_\lambda(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$. Assume that $\alpha_\lambda(a, b) = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda^i \alpha_i(a, b)$ for any $a, b \in V$, where $\alpha_i(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are bilinear forms on V and there exist some $a, b \in V$ such that $\alpha_n(a, b) \neq 0$. Then, we obtain (for any $a, b, c \in V$)

(1) If $n > 3$, $\alpha_n(a \circ b, c) = 0$;

(2) If $n \leq 3$,

$$(3.5) \quad \alpha_i(a, b) = (-1)^{i+1} \alpha_i(b, a), \text{ for any } i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\},$$

$$(3.6) \quad \alpha_3(a, c \circ b) = \alpha_3(a \circ b, c) = \alpha_3(b \circ a, c),$$

$$(3.7) \quad \alpha_2(a, c \circ b) + \alpha_3(a, [c, b]) = \alpha_2(a \circ b, c) + \alpha_3([b, a], c),$$

$$(3.8) \quad \alpha_2(a, b * c) + \alpha_2(b \circ a, c) = 2\alpha_2(a \circ b, c) + 3\alpha_3([b, a], c),$$

$$(3.9) \quad \alpha_1(a, c \circ b) + \alpha_2(a, [c, b]) = \alpha_1(a \circ b, c) + \alpha_2([b, a], c),$$

$$(3.10) \quad \alpha_1(a, b * c) - \alpha_1(b, a * c) = -\alpha_1(b \circ a, c) + \alpha_1(a \circ b, c) + 2\alpha_2([b, a], c),$$

$$(3.11) \quad \alpha_0(a, c \circ b) + \alpha_1(a, [c, b]) - \alpha_0(b, a * c) = \alpha_0(a \circ b, c) + \alpha_1([b, a], c),$$

$$(3.12) \quad \alpha_0(a, [c, b]) - \alpha_0(b, [c, a]) = \alpha_0([b, a], c).$$

Proof. According to (3.2), we get $\sum_{i=0}^n \lambda^i \alpha_i(a, b) = -\sum_{i=0}^n (-\lambda)^i \alpha_i(b, a)$. By comparing the coefficients of λ^i for any $i \in [0, \dots, n]$, we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \alpha_i(b, a) = (-1)^{i+1} \alpha_i(a, b).$$

By (2.5), (3.1) and (3.2), (3.3) becomes

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lambda \alpha_\lambda(a, c \circ b) + \mu \alpha_\lambda(a, b * c) + \alpha_\lambda(a, [c, b]) - \mu \alpha_\mu(b, c \circ a) \\ & \quad - \lambda \alpha_\mu(b, a * c) - \alpha_\mu(b, [c, a]) \\ & = (-\lambda - \mu) \alpha_{\lambda+\mu}(b \circ a, c) + \lambda \alpha_{\lambda+\mu}(a * b, c) + \alpha_{\lambda+\mu}([b, a], c). \end{aligned}$$

If $n > 3$, by the assumption that $\alpha_\lambda(a, b) = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda^i \alpha_i(a, b)$ and comparing the coefficients of $\lambda^2 \mu^{n-1}$ and $\lambda^{n-1} \mu^2$, we obtain

$$n \alpha_n(a \circ b, c) - C_n^2 \alpha_n(b \circ a, c) = 0, \quad C_n^2 \alpha_n(a \circ b, c) - n \alpha_n(b \circ a, c) = 0.$$

Since $n > 3$, $\alpha_n(a \circ b, c) = 0$ for any $a, b, c \in V$.

If $n \leq 3$, taking $\alpha_\lambda(a, b) = \sum_{i=0}^3 \lambda^i \alpha_i(a, b)$ into (3.14) and comparing the coefficients of $\lambda^4, \lambda \mu^3, \lambda^2 \mu^2, \lambda^3 \mu, \mu^4, \lambda^3, \lambda \mu^2, \lambda^2 \mu, \mu^3, \lambda^2, \lambda \mu, \mu^2, \lambda, \mu$ and $\lambda^0 \mu^0$, we get

$$(3.15) \quad \alpha_3(a, c \circ b) = \alpha_3(a \circ b, c),$$

$$(3.16) \quad -\alpha_3(b, a * c) = -3\alpha_3(b \circ a, c) + \alpha_3(a \circ b, c),$$

$$(3.17) \quad \alpha_3(b \circ a, c) = \alpha_3(a \circ b, c),$$

$$(3.18) \quad \alpha_3(a, b * c) = -\alpha_3(b \circ a, c) + 3\alpha_3(a \circ b, c),$$

$$(3.19) \quad \alpha_3(b \circ a, c) = \alpha_3(b, c \circ a),$$

$$(3.20) \quad \alpha_2(a, c \circ b) + \alpha_3(a, [c, b]) = \alpha_2(a \circ b, c) + \alpha_3([b, a], c),$$

$$(3.21) \quad -\alpha_2(b, a * c) = -2\alpha_2(b \circ a, c) + \alpha_2(a \circ b, c) + 3\alpha_3([b, a], c),$$

$$(3.22) \quad \alpha_2(a, b * c) = -\alpha_2(b \circ a, c) + 2\alpha_2(a \circ b, c) + 3\alpha_3([b, a], c),$$

$$(3.23) \quad -\alpha_2(b, c \circ a) - \alpha_3(b, [c, a]) = -\alpha_2(b \circ a, c) + \alpha_3([b, a], c),$$

$$(3.24) \quad \alpha_1(a, c \circ b) + \alpha_2(a, [c, b]) = \alpha_1(a \circ b, c) + \alpha_2([b, a], c),$$

$$(3.25) \quad \alpha_1(a, b * c) - \alpha_1(b, a * c) = -\alpha_1(b \circ a, c) + \alpha_1(a \circ b, c) + 2\alpha_2([b, a], c),$$

$$(3.26) \quad -\alpha_1(b, c \circ a) - \alpha_2(b, [c, a]) = -\alpha_1(b \circ a, c) + \alpha_2([b, a], c),$$

$$(3.27) \quad \alpha_0(a, c \circ b) + \alpha_1(a, [c, b]) - \alpha_0(b, a * c) = \alpha_0(a \circ b, c) + \alpha_1([b, a], c),$$

$$(3.28) \quad \alpha_0(a, b * c) - \alpha_0(b, c \circ a) - \alpha_1(b, [c, a]) = -\alpha_0(b \circ a, c) + \alpha_1([b, a], c),$$

$$(3.29) \quad \alpha_0(a, [c, b]) - \alpha_0(b, [c, a]) = \alpha_0([b, a], c).$$

Obviously, (3.15) is equivalent to (3.19) by changing the positions of a and b . Similarly, (3.16) is equivalent to (3.18). Moreover, (3.15) and (3.17) are equivalent to (3.6). By (3.15) and (3.17), (3.16) is reduced to

$$(3.30) \quad \alpha_3(b, a \circ c) = \alpha_3(b \circ a, c).$$

Since $\alpha_3(a, b) = \alpha_3(b, a)$, we can obtain (3.30) from (3.6) as follows:

$$\alpha_3(b, a \circ c) = \alpha_3(b \circ c, a) = \alpha_3(c \circ b, a) = \alpha_3(c, a \circ b) = \alpha_3(a \circ b, c) = \alpha_3(b \circ a, c).$$

Therefore, (3.15)-(3.19) are equivalent to (3.6) and (3.5) when $i = 3$. With a similar discussion, we can obtain (3.13) and (3.15)-(3.29) are equivalent to (3.5) and (3.6)-(3.12). $\square$

Remark 3.2. According to the definition of quadratic Lie conformal algebra, if there exists $\alpha_i(\cdot, \cdot)$ nonzero for some $i \geq 2$, then there are non-trivial central extensions of this Lie conformal algebra.

Corollary 3.3. Let $R = \mathbb{C}[\partial]V$ be a finite quadratic Lie conformal algebra corresponding to the Gel'fand-Dorfman bialgebra $(V, \circ, [\cdot, \cdot])$ where for any $x \in V$, there exist some non-negative integer m and $y_i, z_i \in V$ such that $x = \sum_{i=0}^m y_i \circ z_i$. Set $\hat{R} = R \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}$ be a central extension of $(R, [\cdot, \cdot])$ with the λ -bracket given by (3.4). Then, we obtain $\alpha_\lambda(a, b) = \sum_{i=0}^3 \lambda^i \alpha_i(a, b)$ for any $a, b \in V$, where $\alpha_i(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are bilinear forms on V for any $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and they satisfy (3.5)-(3.12).

Proof. Since $R = \mathbb{C}[\partial]V$ is a finitely generated and free $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module, we can assume that $\alpha_\lambda(a, b) = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda^i \alpha_i(a, b)$ for any $a, b \in V$ and some non-negative integer n . When $n > 3$, by Theorem 3.1 and the assumption that for any $x \in V$, there exist some m and $y_i, z_i \in V$ such that $x = \sum_{i=0}^m y_i \circ z_i$, we obtain for any $x, a \in V$, $\alpha_n(x, a) = \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_n(y_i \circ z_i, a) = 0$. Therefore, if $n > 3$, $\alpha_n(a, b) = 0$ for any $a, b \in V$. So, by Theorem 3.1, $n \leq 3$ and (3.5)-(3.12) hold for any $a, b, c \in V$. Then, we obtain this corollary. $\square$

Remark 3.4. In fact, for a Gel'fand-Dorfman bialgebra $(V, \circ, [\cdot, \cdot])$, there are some natural conditions to make it satisfy the assumption in Corollary 3.3. For example, $(V, \circ)$ is a simple Novikov algebra or $(V, \circ)$ is a Novikov algebra with a left unit or a right unit.

Corollary 3.5. Let $R = \mathbb{C}[\partial]V$ be a quadratic Lie conformal algebra corresponding to the Novikov algebra $(V, \circ)$ where for any $x \in V$, there exist some non-negative integer m and $y_i, z_i \in V$ such that $x = \sum_{i=0}^m y_i \circ z_i$. Set $\hat{R} = R \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}$ be a central extension of $(R, [\cdot, \cdot])$ with the λ -bracket of $\hat{R}$ given by

$$(3.31) \quad [\widetilde{a_\lambda b}] = \partial(b \circ a) + \lambda(a * b) + \alpha_\lambda(a, b)\mathbf{c},$$

where $a, b \in V$ and $\alpha_\lambda(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$. Then, we get $\alpha_\lambda(a, b) = \sum_{i=0}^3 \lambda^i \alpha_i(a, b)$ for any $a, b \in V$, where $\alpha_i(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are bilinear forms for any $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and they satisfy (3.5), (3.6) and

$$(3.32) \quad \alpha_2(a, b \circ c) + \alpha_2(b \circ a, c) = \alpha_2(a \circ b, c) = \alpha_2(a, c \circ b),$$

$$(3.33) \quad \alpha_1(a, c \circ b) = \alpha_1(a \circ b, c),$$

$$(3.34) \quad \alpha_0(c \circ a, b) - \alpha_0(c \circ b, a) = \alpha_0(a \circ b, c) - \alpha_0(a \circ c, b)$$

for any $a, b, c \in V$. In particular, if $(V, \circ)$ is a Novikov algebra with a unit 1, then $\alpha_2(a, b) = \alpha_0(a, b) = 0$ for any $a, b \in V$.

Set $\widehat{Cur\mathfrak{g}} = Cur\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}$ where $Cur\mathfrak{g}$ is a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -submodule of $\widehat{Cur\mathfrak{g}}$, $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}$ is a trivial $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -submodule, and $[\mathbf{c}_\lambda \widehat{Cur\mathfrak{g}}] = 0$. Set the λ -bracket of $\widehat{Cur\mathfrak{g}}$ by

$$(3.35) \quad [\widetilde{a_\lambda b}] = [a, b] + \alpha_\lambda(a, b)\mathbf{c}.$$

Assume that $\alpha_\lambda(a, b) = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda^i \alpha_i(a, b)$ for any $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$, where there exist some $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\alpha_n(a, b) \neq 0$. Then, if $(\widehat{Cur\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie conformal algebra if and only if (3.5), (3.12) and

$$(3.36) \quad \alpha_i([b, a], c) = \alpha_i(a, [c, b]) = 0, \text{ for all } i \geq 2,$$

$$(3.37) \quad \alpha_1(a, [c, b]) = \alpha_1([b, a], c),$$

hold for any $a, b, c \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. The second result can be directly obtained from the proof of Theorem 3.1. In the following, we prove the first result.

For any $a, b \in V$, set $\alpha_\lambda(a, b) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_{a,b}} \lambda^i \alpha_i(a, b)$ where $\alpha_i(\cdot, \cdot)$ are bilinear forms on V and $n_{a,b}$ is a non-negative integer depending on a and b . With the same process as in Theorem 3.1, (3.14) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \alpha_\lambda(a, c \circ b) + \mu \alpha_\lambda(a, b * c) - \mu \alpha_\mu(b, c \circ a) - \lambda \alpha_\mu(b, a * c) \\ (3.38) \quad & = (-\lambda - \mu) \alpha_{\lambda+\mu}(b \circ a, c) + \lambda \alpha_{\lambda+\mu}(a * b, c). \end{aligned}$$

For fixed a, b, c , there are only finite elements of V appearing in $\alpha_\lambda(\cdot, \cdot)$ in (3.38). Therefore, we may assume the degrees of all $\alpha_\lambda(\cdot, \cdot)$ in (3.38) are smaller than some non-negative integer. So, we set $\alpha_\lambda(a, c \circ b) = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda^i \alpha_i(a, c \circ b)$, $\dots$ and $\alpha_{\lambda+\mu}(a * b, c) = \sum_{i=0}^n (\lambda + \mu)^i \alpha_i(a * b, c)$. Of course, here, n depends on a, b and c .

If $n > 3$, by comparing the coefficients of $\lambda^2 \mu^{n-1}$ and $\lambda^{n-1} \mu^2$ in (3.38), we get

$$n \alpha_n(a \circ b, c) - C_n^2 \alpha_n(b \circ a, c) = 0, \quad C_n^2 \alpha_n(a \circ b, c) - n \alpha_n(b \circ a, c) = 0.$$

Therefore, $\alpha_n(a \circ b, c) = \alpha_n(b \circ a, c) = 0$. Repeating this process, we can get $\alpha_m(a \circ b, c) = \alpha_m(b \circ a, c) = 0$ for all $n \geq m > 3$.

By the discussion above, for any $a, b, c \in V$, we get $\alpha_m(a \circ b, c) = 0$ for all $m > 3$. Since for any $x \in V$, there exist some m and $y_i, z_i \in V$ such that $x = \sum_{i=0}^m y_i \circ z_i$, we get $\alpha_m(x, c) = 0$ for all $m > 3$ and any $c \in V$. Hence, $\alpha_\lambda(a, b) = \sum_{i=0}^3 \alpha_i(a, b)$. Moreover, it is easy to check that (3.32)-(3.34) are equivalent to (3.7)-(3.12) with $[\cdot, \cdot]$ trivial.

In particular, if $(V, \circ)$ is a Novikov algebra with a unit 1, letting $b = 1$ in (3.32), we can obtain $\alpha_2(a, c) = 0$ directly for any $a, c \in V$. Similarly, by (3.5), we get $\alpha_0(a, b) = -\alpha_0(b, a)$ for any $a, b \in V$. Letting $c = b = 1$ in (3.34) and using $\alpha_0(a, 1) = -\alpha_0(1, a)$, we have $\alpha_0(a, 1) = 0$ for any $a \in V$. Then, letting $c = 1$ in (3.34) and using $\alpha_0(a, 1) = 0$ for any $a \in V$, $\alpha_0(a, b) = 0$ is obtained for any $a, b \in V$.

Now, the proof is finished. $\square$

Remark 3.6. Note that the first part of Corollary 3.5 also holds when V is infinite-dimensional.

Remark 3.7. If $\mathfrak{g}$ in Corollary 3.5 is a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra, then by (3.36), $\alpha_i(a, b) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$ and any $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$. Therefore, in this case, the central extension of Curg by a one-dimensional center $\mathbb{C}c$ is $\widehat{\text{Curg}} = \text{Curg} \oplus \mathbb{C}c$ with the following λ -bracket

$$[\widetilde{a_\lambda b}] = [a, b] + \psi(a, b) + \lambda(a|b), \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{g},$$

where $\psi : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a 2-cocycle on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $(\cdot|\cdot)$ is a symmetric invariant form of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 3.8. Let $(V, \circ, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a Gel'fand-Dorfman bialgebra and $\alpha_i(\cdot, \cdot)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) be bilinear forms on V satisfying (3.5)-(3.12) for any $a, b, c \in V$. In addition, let $\pi_i : \mathcal{L}(V) \times \mathcal{L}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be bilinear forms on $\mathcal{L}(V)$ defined by

$$(3.39) \quad \pi_0(a \otimes t^m, b \otimes t^n) = \alpha_0(a, b) \delta_{m+n+1,0},$$

$$(3.40) \quad \pi_1(a \otimes t^m, b \otimes t^n) = m \alpha_1(a, b) \delta_{m+n,0},$$

$$(3.41) \quad \pi_2(a \otimes t^m, b \otimes t^n) = m(m-1) \alpha_2(a, b) \delta_{m+n-1,0},$$

$$(3.42) \quad \pi_3(a \otimes t^m, b \otimes t^n) = m(m-1)(m-2) \alpha_3(a, b) \delta_{m+n-2,0},$$

for $a, b \in V, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, $\pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3$ are 2-cocycles of Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}(V)$.

Proof. Let $R = \mathbb{C}[\partial]V$ be a quadratic Lie conformal algebra corresponding to the Gel'fand-Dorfman bialgebra $(V, \circ, [\cdot, \cdot])$. Assume that $\widehat{R}$ is the central extension defined by (3.4). Then, the coefficient algebra of $\widehat{R}$ is $\text{Coeff}(\widehat{R}) = \mathcal{L}(V) \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}_{-1}$ with the following Lie bracket: $[a_m, \mathbf{c}_{-1}] = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} [a_m, b_n] &= [a, b]_{m+n} + m(a \circ b)_{m+n-1} - n(b \circ a)_{m+n-1} + \alpha_0(a, b)\delta_{m+n+1,0}\mathbf{c}_{-1} \\ &\quad + m\alpha_1(a, b)\delta_{m+n,0}\mathbf{c}_{-1} + m(m-1)\alpha_2(a, b)\delta_{m+n-1,0}\mathbf{c}_{-1} \\ &\quad + m(m-1)(m-2)\alpha_3(a, b)\delta_{m+n-2,0}\mathbf{c}_{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $a, b \in V$, and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Obviously, $\text{Coeff}(\widehat{R})$ is the central extension of $\mathcal{L}(V)$ by a one-dimensional central $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}_{-1}$. Therefore, we naturally obtain 4 kinds of 2-cocycles of $\mathcal{L}(V)$. $\square$

Remark 3.9. In general, from the proof of Proposition 3.8, it can be seen that if some central extension of a (may not quadratic) Lie conformal algebra by a one-dimensional center $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}$ is known, we can naturally obtain a central extension of its coefficient algebra by a one-dimensional center $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}_{-1}$. In addition, it is some generalization of Proposition 2.5 in [18].

Finally, we use Theorem 3.1 to determine central extensions of several specific Lie conformal algebras by a one-dimensional center $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}$.

Example 3.10. Let $R(\alpha, \beta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]L \oplus \mathbb{C}[\partial]W$ be a Lie conformal algebra with the λ -bracket given as follows:

$$(3.43) \quad [L_\lambda L] = (\partial + 2\lambda)L, \quad [L_\lambda W] = (\partial + \alpha\lambda + \beta)W, \quad [W_\lambda W] = 0,$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. In fact, it is the semi-direct sum of Vir and the conformal module $\mathbb{C}[\partial]W$ of Vir with the action $L_\lambda(W) = (\partial + \alpha\lambda + \beta)W$. Moreover, it also appears in [13].

Next, we begin to study central extensions of $R(\alpha, \beta)$ by a one-dimensional center $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}$.

Obviously, $R(\alpha, \beta)$ is a quadratic Lie conformal algebra corresponding to the 2-dimensional Gel'fand-Dorfman bialgebra $V = \mathbb{C}L \oplus \mathbb{C}W$ with the Novikov operation “ $\circ$ ” and Lie bracket defined as follows:

$$(3.44) \quad L \circ L = L, \quad L \circ W = (\alpha - 1)W, \quad W \circ L = W, \quad W \circ W = 0,$$

$$(3.45) \quad [L, L] = 0, \quad [W, L] = \beta W, \quad [W, W] = 0.$$

It is easy to see that $(V, \circ, [\cdot, \cdot])$ satisfies the assumption in Corollary 3.3. Therefore, according to Corollary 3.3, (3.5)-(3.12) and by some simple computations, we can obtain

(1) If $\alpha \neq 2$, $\alpha \neq 1$, $\alpha \neq 0$, or $\alpha = 1$, $\beta \neq 0$ or $\alpha = 2$, $\beta \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_3(L, L) &= A, \quad \alpha_1(L, L) = B, \quad \alpha_1(L, W) = C, \quad \alpha_0(L, W) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}C, \\ \alpha_3(L, W) &= \alpha_3(W, W) = \alpha_2(L, W) = \alpha_2(L, L) = \alpha_2(W, W) = 0, \\ \alpha_1(W, W) &= \alpha_0(W, W) = \alpha_0(L, L) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B, C \in \mathbb{C}$;

(2) If $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_3(L, L) &= A, \alpha_1(L, L) = B, \alpha_1(L, W) = C, \alpha_0(L, W) = D, \\ \alpha_3(L, W) &= \alpha_3(W, W) = \alpha_2(L, W) = \alpha_2(L, L) = \alpha_2(W, W) = 0, \\ \alpha_1(W, W) &= \alpha_0(W, W) = \alpha_0(L, L) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{C}$;

(3) If $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_3(L, L) &= A, \alpha_1(L, L) = B, \alpha_0(L, W) = C, \\ \alpha_3(L, W) &= \alpha_3(W, W) = \alpha_2(L, W) = \alpha_2(L, L) = \alpha_2(W, W) = 0, \\ \alpha_1(L, W) &= \alpha_1(W, W) = \alpha_0(W, W) = \alpha_0(L, L) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B, C \in \mathbb{C}$;

(4) If $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_3(L, L) &= A, \alpha_2(L, W) = B, \alpha_1(L, L) = C, \alpha_1(L, W) = D, \alpha_1(W, W) = E, \\ \alpha_3(L, W) &= \alpha_3(W, W) = \alpha_2(W, W) = \alpha_2(L, L) = 0, \\ \alpha_0(W, W) &= \alpha_0(L, L) = \alpha_0(L, W) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B, C, D, E \in \mathbb{C}$;

(5) If $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_3(L, L) &= A, \alpha_3(L, W) = B, \alpha_1(L, L) = C, \alpha_1(L, W) = D, \alpha_1(W, W) = E, \\ \alpha_3(W, W) &= \alpha_2(L, W) = \alpha_2(W, W) = \alpha_2(L, L) = 0, \\ \alpha_0(W, W) &= \alpha_0(L, W) = \alpha_0(L, L) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B, C, D, E \in \mathbb{C}$.

Therefore, if $\alpha \neq 2$, $\alpha \neq 1$, $\alpha \neq 0$, or $\alpha = 1$, $\beta \neq 0$ or $\alpha = 2$, $\beta \neq 0$, any central extension of $R(\alpha, \beta)$ by a one-dimensional center $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$ is of the following form: $\widetilde{R(\alpha, \beta)} = R(\alpha, \beta) \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$ and the λ -bracket is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}[L_\lambda L] &= (\partial + 2\lambda)L + (A\lambda^3 + B\lambda)\mathfrak{c}, \\ [L_\lambda W] &= (\partial + \alpha\lambda + \beta)W + \left(\lambda + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)C\mathfrak{c}, \quad [W_\lambda W] = 0,\end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B, C \in \mathbb{C}$;

If $\alpha = 0$, and $\beta = 0$, any central extensions of $R(0, 0)$ by a one-dimensional center $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$ is of the following form: $\widetilde{R(0, 0)} = R(0, 0) \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$ and the λ -bracket is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}[L_\lambda L] &= (\partial + 2\lambda)L + (A\lambda^3 + B\lambda)\mathfrak{c}, \\ [L_\lambda W] &= \partial W + (C\lambda + D)\mathfrak{c}, \quad [W_\lambda W] = 0,\end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{C}$;

If $\alpha = 0$, and $\beta \neq 0$, any central extension of $R(0, \beta)$ by a one-dimensional center $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$ is of the following form: $\widetilde{R(0, \beta)} = R(0, \beta) \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$ and the λ -bracket is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}[L_\lambda L] &= (\partial + 2\lambda)L + (A\lambda^3 + B\lambda)\mathfrak{c}, \\ [L_\lambda W] &= (\partial + \beta)W + C\mathfrak{c}, \quad [W_\lambda W] = 0,\end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B, C \in \mathbb{C}$;

If $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, any central extension of $R(1, 0)$ by a one-dimensional center $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$ is of the following form: $\widetilde{R(1, 0)} = R(1, 0) \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$ and the λ -bracket is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [L_\lambda L] &= (\partial + 2\lambda)L + (A\lambda^3 + B\lambda)\mathfrak{c}, \\ [L_\lambda W] &= (\partial + \lambda)W + (B\lambda^2 + D\lambda)\mathfrak{c}, \quad [W_\lambda W] = E\lambda\mathfrak{c}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B, C, D, E \in \mathbb{C}$;

If $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 0$, any central extension of $R(2, 0)$ by a one-dimensional center $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$ is of the following form: $\widetilde{R(2, 0)} = R(2, 0) \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$ and the λ -bracket is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [L_\lambda L] &= (\partial + 2\lambda)L + (A\lambda^3 + C\lambda)\mathfrak{c}, \\ [L_\lambda W] &= (\partial + 2\lambda)W + (B\lambda^3 + D\lambda)\mathfrak{c}, \quad [W_\lambda W] = E\lambda\mathfrak{c}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B, C, D, E \in \mathbb{C}$.

Example 3.11. The loop Virasoro Lie conformal algebra $\mathcal{LW}$ introduced in [20] is a Lie conformal algebra with the $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -basis $\{L_i | i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and the λ -bracket given as follows:

$$(3.46) \quad [L_{i\lambda} L_j] = (-\partial - 2\lambda)L_{i+j}.$$

Its coefficient algebra is just the loop Virasoro Lie algebra. In [20], conformal derivations, rank one conformal modules and $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded free intermediate series modules of $\mathcal{LW}$ are determined. But, central extensions of $\mathcal{LW}$ are not studied. Next, we will give a characterization of central extensions of $\mathcal{LW}$ by a one-dimensional center $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$.

Obviously, $\mathcal{LW}$ is a quadratic Lie conformal algebra corresponding to the infinite-dimensional Novikov algebra $V = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}L_i$ with the operation “ $\circ$ ” as follows:

$$(3.47) \quad L_i \circ L_j = -L_{i+j}, \text{ for any } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

According to Corollary 3.5, (3.5), (3.6), (3.32)-(3.34) and by some simple computations, we can obtain

$$(3.48) \quad \alpha_3(L_i, L_{j+k}) = \alpha_3(L_{i+k}, L_j), \quad \alpha_3(L_i, L_j) = \alpha_3(L_j, L_i),$$

$$(3.49) \quad \alpha_2(L_i, L_j) = 0,$$

$$(3.50) \quad \alpha_1(L_i, L_{j+k}) = \alpha_1(L_{i+j}, L_k), \quad \alpha_1(L_i, L_j) = \alpha_1(L_j, L_i),$$

$$(3.51) \quad 2\alpha_0(L_{i+k}, L_j) = \alpha_0(L_{i+j}, L_k) + \alpha_0(L_{j+k}, L_i), \quad \alpha_0(L_i, L_j) = -\alpha_0(L_j, L_i),$$

for any $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By (3.48), we get $\alpha_3(L_i, L_{j+k}) = \alpha_3(L_{i+j+k}, L_0) = \alpha_3(L_0, L_{i+j+k})$. Therefore, we set

$$(3.52) \quad \alpha_3(L_0, L_{i+j+k}) = f(i+j+k),$$

for some complex function f . Thus, $\alpha_3(L_i, L_{j+k}) = f(i+j+k)$. Letting $k = 0$, we obtain $\alpha_3(L_i, L_j) = f(i+j)$. Then, (3.48) holds for any $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, $\alpha_1(L_i, L_j) = g(i+j)$ for some complex function g . Set $j = 0$ in (3.51). We have $2\alpha_0(L_{i+k}, L_0) = \alpha_0(L_i, L_k) + \alpha_0(L_k, L_i) = 0$ for any $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $\alpha_0(L_i, L_0) = \alpha_0(L_0, L_i) = 0$. Then, set $k = 0$ in (3.51). we get

$$2\alpha_0(L_i, L_j) = \alpha_0(L_{i+j}, L_0) + \alpha_0(L_j, L_i) = \alpha_0(L_j, L_i) = -\alpha_0(L_i, L_j).$$

Thus, $\alpha_0(L_i, L_j) = 0$.

Therefore, any central extension of $\mathcal{LW}$ by a one-dimensional center $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$ is $\widetilde{\mathcal{LW}} = \mathcal{LW} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$ with the λ -bracket as follows:

$$(3.53) \quad [L_{i\lambda}L_j] = (-\partial - 2\lambda)L_{i+j} + (g(i+j)\lambda + f(i+j)\lambda^3)\mathfrak{c},$$

for any complex functions f, g .

Example 3.12. The loop Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie conformal algebra CHV introduced in [10] is a Lie conformal algebra with the $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -basis $\{L_i, H_i | i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and the λ -bracket

$$(3.54) \quad [L_{i\lambda}L_j] = (\partial + 2\lambda)L_{i+j}, \quad [L_{i\lambda}H_j] = (\partial + \lambda)H_{i+j}, \quad [H_{i\lambda}H_j] = 0.$$

Its coefficient algebra is just the loop Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie algebra. The central extensions of CHV are not studied in [10]. Therefore, we plan to give a characterization of central extensions of CHV by a one-dimensional center $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$.

Obviously, CHV is a quadratic Lie conformal algebra corresponding to the infinite-dimensional Novikov algebra $V = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}L_i \oplus \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}H_i$ with the operation “ $\circ$ ” as follows:

$$(3.55) \quad L_i \circ L_j = L_{i+j}, \quad L_i \circ H_j = 0, \quad H_j \circ L_i = H_{i+j}, \quad H_i \circ H_j = 0.$$

With a similar discussion as that in Example 3.11, it can be obtained that any central extension of CHV by a one-dimensional center $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$ is $\widetilde{CHV} = CHV \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$ with the following λ -bracket:

$$(3.56) \quad [L_{i\lambda}L_j] = (\partial + 2\lambda)L_{i+j} + (g(i+j)\lambda + f(i+j)\lambda^3)\mathfrak{c},$$

$$(3.57) \quad [L_{i\lambda}H_j] = (\partial + \lambda)H_{i+j} + (h(i+j)\lambda + k(i+j)\lambda^2)\mathfrak{c},$$

$$(3.58) \quad [H_{i\lambda}H_j] = l(i+j)\lambda\mathfrak{c},$$

for some complex functions f, g, h, k, l .

4. CONFORMAL DERIVATIONS OF QUADRATIC LIE CONFORMAL ALGEBRAS

In this section, we will investigate conformal derivations of quadratic Lie conformal algebras.

From now on, denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the ring $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ of polynomials in the indeterminate ∂ .

Definition 4.1. A *conformal linear map* between $\mathcal{A}$ -modules U and V is a linear map $\phi_\lambda : U \rightarrow V[\lambda]$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \phi_\lambda(\partial u) = (\partial + \lambda)\phi_\lambda u, \quad \text{for all } u \in U.$$

We will abuse the notation by writing $\phi : U \rightarrow V$ any time it is clear from the context that ϕ is conformal linear.

Definition 4.2. Let R be a Lie conformal algebra. A conformal linear map $d : R \rightarrow R$ is called a *conformal derivation* of R if

$$(4.2) \quad d_\lambda[a_\mu b] = [(d_\lambda a)_{\lambda+\mu} b] + [a_\mu (d_\lambda b)], \quad a, b \in R.$$

The space of all conformal derivations of R is denoted by $\text{CDer}(R)$. For any $a \in R$, there is a natural conformal linear map $\text{ad } a : R \rightarrow R$ such that

$$(\text{ad } a)_\lambda b = [a_\lambda b], \quad b \in R.$$

All conformal derivations of this kind are called *inner*. The space of all inner conformal derivations is denoted by $\text{CInn}(R)$.

Next, we present a characterization of conformal derivations of quadratic Lie conformal algebras.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $R = \mathbb{C}[\partial]V$ be a quadratic Lie conformal algebra corresponding to the Gel'fand-Dorfman bialgebra $(V, \circ, [\cdot, \cdot])$. Assume d is a conformal derivation of R . Assume that*

$$(4.3) \quad d_\lambda(a) = \sum_{i=0}^n \partial^i d_\lambda^i(a), \quad d_\lambda^i(a) \in V[\lambda], \quad a \in V, \quad i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\},$$

and there exists some $a \in V$ such that $d_\lambda^n(a) \neq 0$. Then, we obtain (for any $a, b \in V$)

(1) If $n > 3$, then $d_\lambda^n(b) \circ a = a \circ d_\lambda^n(b) = 0$;

(2) If $n \leq 3$,

$$(4.4) \quad d_\lambda^3(b \circ a) = d_\lambda^3(a \circ b) = d_\lambda^3(b) \circ a,$$

$$(4.5) \quad b \circ d_\lambda^3(a) = a \circ d_\lambda^3(b),$$

$$(4.6) \quad 2d_\lambda^3(b) \circ a + a \circ d_\lambda^3(b) = 0,$$

$$(4.7) \quad \lambda d_\lambda^3(b \circ a) + d_\lambda^2(b \circ a) + d_\lambda^3[b, a] = [d_\lambda^3(b), a] + d_\lambda^2(b) \circ a,$$

$$(4.8) \quad d_\lambda^2(a * b) = 2d_\lambda^2(b) \circ a + d_\lambda^2(b) * a + 3[d_\lambda^3(b), a],$$

$$(4.9) \quad -3\lambda b \circ d_\lambda^3(a) + b \circ d_\lambda^2(a) + d_\lambda^2(b) \circ a + 2d_\lambda^2(b) * a + 3[d_\lambda^3(b), a] = 0,$$

$$(4.10) \quad -4\lambda d_\lambda^3(a) * b + d_\lambda^2(a) * b - [b, d_\lambda^3(a)] + d_\lambda^2(b) * a + [d_\lambda^3(b), a] = 0,$$

$$(4.11) \quad \lambda d_\lambda^2(b \circ a) + d_\lambda^1(b \circ a) + d_\lambda^2[b, a] = d_\lambda^1(b) \circ a + [d_\lambda^2(b), a],$$

$$(4.12) \quad d_\lambda^1(a * b) = \sum_{i=1}^3 (-1)^i \lambda^{i-1} b \circ d_\lambda^i(a) + d_\lambda^1(b) \circ a + d_\lambda^1(b) * a + 2[d_\lambda^2(b), a],$$

$$(4.13)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 (-1)^i C_{i+1}^2 \lambda^{i-1} d_\lambda^i(a) * b + \sum_{i=2}^3 (-1)^i C_i^2 \lambda^{i-2} [b, d_\lambda^i(a)] + d_\lambda^1(b) * a + [d_\lambda^2(b), a] = 0,$$

$$(4.14)$$

$$\lambda d_\lambda^1(b \circ a) + d_\lambda^0(b \circ a) + d_\lambda^1[b, a] = \sum_{i=0}^3 (-1)^i \lambda^i b \circ d_\lambda^i(a) + d_\lambda^0(b) \circ a + [d_\lambda^1(b), a],$$

$$(4.15)$$

$$d_\lambda^0(a * b) = \sum_{i=0}^3 (-1)^i C_{i+1}^1 \lambda^i d_\lambda^i(a) * b + \sum_{i=1}^3 (-1)^i C_i^1 \lambda^{i-1} [b, d_\lambda^i(a)] + d_\lambda^0(b) * a + [d_\lambda^1(b), a],$$

$$(4.16)$$

$$\lambda d_\lambda^0(b \circ a) + d_\lambda^0[b, a] = \sum_{i=0}^3 (-1)^i \lambda^{i+1} d_\lambda^i(a) * b + \sum_{i=0}^3 (-1)^i \lambda^i [b, d_\lambda^i(a)] + [d_\lambda^0(b), a].$$

Proof. Since d is a conformal derivation of R , by (4.2) and (4.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.17) \quad d_\lambda[a_\mu b] &= d_\lambda(\partial(b \circ a) + \mu(a * b) + [b, a]) \\
 &= (\lambda + \partial)d_\lambda(b \circ a) + \mu d_\lambda(a * b) + d_\lambda[b, a], \\
 &= (\lambda + \partial) \sum_{i=0}^n \partial^i d_\lambda^i(b \circ a) + \mu \sum_{i=0}^n \partial^i d_\lambda^i(a * b) + \sum_{i=0}^n \partial^i d_\lambda^i[b, a],
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.18) \quad [(d_\lambda a)_{\lambda+\mu} b] + [a_\mu(d_\lambda b)] &= [(\sum_{i=0}^n \partial^i d_\lambda^i(a))_{\lambda+\mu} b] + [a_\mu(\sum_{i=0}^n \partial^i d_\lambda^i(b))] \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-\lambda - \mu)^i [d_\lambda^i(a)_{\lambda+\mu} b] + \sum_{i=0}^n (\mu + \partial)^i [a_\mu d_\lambda^i(b)] \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-\lambda - \mu)^i (\partial(b \circ d_\lambda^i(a)) + (\lambda + \mu)d_\lambda^i(a) * b + [b, d_\lambda^i(a)]) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^n (\mu + \partial)^i (\partial(d_\lambda^i(b) \circ a) + \mu d_\lambda^i(b) * a + [d_\lambda^i(b), a]).
 \end{aligned}$$

If $n > 3$, comparing the coefficients of $\mu^{n-1}\partial^2$, $\mu^2\partial^{n-1}$ in (4.17) and (4.18), we obtain the following equalities

$$(4.19) \quad nd_\lambda^n(b) \circ a + C_n^2 d_\lambda^n(b) * a = 0, \quad C_n^2 d_\lambda^n(b) \circ a + nd_\lambda^n(b) * a = 0.$$

Since $n > 3$, by (4.19), we get $d_\lambda^n(b) \circ a = d_\lambda^n(b) * a = 0$ for any $a, b \in V$. Since $d_\lambda^n(b) * a = d_\lambda^n(b) \circ a + a \circ d_\lambda^n(b)$, we also have $a \circ d_\lambda^n(b) = 0$.

If $n \leq 3$, assume

$$(4.20) \quad d_\lambda(a) = \sum_{i=0}^3 \partial^i d_\lambda^i(a), \text{ for any } a \in V.$$

Then, taking (4.20) into (4.17) and (4.18) and by comparing the coefficients of ∂^4 , $\partial^3\mu$, $\partial^2\mu^2$, $\partial\mu^3$, μ^4 , we can get

$$(4.21) \quad d_\lambda^3(b \circ a) = d_\lambda^3(b) \circ a,$$

$$(4.22) \quad d_\lambda^3(a * b) = 3d_\lambda^3(b) \circ a + d_\lambda^3(b) * a,$$

$$(4.23) \quad d_\lambda^3(b) \circ a + d_\lambda^3(b) * a = 0,$$

$$(4.24) \quad -b \circ d_\lambda^3(a) + d_\lambda^3(b) \circ a + 3d_\lambda^3(b) * a = 0,$$

$$(4.25) \quad -d_\lambda^3(a) * b + d_\lambda^3(b) * a = 0.$$

Then, it is easy to check that (4.4)-(4.6) are equivalent to (4.21)-(4.25). Similarly, by comparing the coefficients of ∂^3 , $\mu\partial^2$, $\mu^2\partial$, μ^3 , ∂^2 , $\mu\partial$, μ^2 , $\partial\mu$ and $\mu^0\partial^0$, we can immediately obtain the equalities (4.7)-(4.16).

By now, the proof is finished. $\square$

Remark 4.4. By the definition of quadratic Lie conformal algebra, if there exists some d^i nonzero in Theorem 4.3 for some $i \geq 2$, then there are non-inner conformal derivations of this Lie conformal algebra.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $R = \mathbb{C}[\partial]V$ be a finite quadratic Lie conformal algebra corresponding to the Gel'fand-Dorfman bialgebra $(V, \circ, [\cdot, \cdot])$. Then, we have*

(1) *If $(V, \circ)$ is a simple Novikov algebra or there exists $x \in V$ such that $b \circ x = kb$ for any $b \in V$ and some $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, then any conformal derivation d of R is of the form $d_\lambda(a) = \sum_{i=0}^3 \partial^i d_\lambda^i(a)$ for any $a \in V$, where $d_\lambda^i(a) \in V[\lambda]$ for $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ satisfy (4.4)-(4.16);*

(2) *If for $(V, \circ)$, there exists $x \in V$ such that $x \circ b = kb$ for any $b \in V$ and some $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, then, any conformal derivation d of R is of the form $d_\lambda(a) = d_\lambda^0(a) + \partial d_\lambda^1(a)$ for any $a \in V$, where $d_\lambda^0(a), d_\lambda^1(a) \in V[\lambda]$ and they satisfy*

$$(4.26) \quad d_\lambda^1(b \circ a) = d_\lambda^1(b) \circ a,$$

$$(4.27) \quad d_\lambda^1(a) * b = d_\lambda^1(b) * a,$$

$$(4.28) \quad d_\lambda^0(b \circ a) + \lambda d_\lambda^1(b \circ a) + d_\lambda^1[b, a] = b \circ d_\lambda^0(a) - \lambda b \circ d_\lambda^1(a) + d_\lambda^0(b) \circ a + [d_\lambda^1(b), a],$$

$$(4.29) \quad \lambda d_\lambda^0(b \circ a) + d_\lambda^0[b, a] = \lambda d_\lambda^0(a) * b - \lambda^2 d_\lambda^1(a) * b + [b, d_\lambda^0(a)] - \lambda [b, d_\lambda^1(a)] + [d_\lambda^0(b), a],$$

for any $a, b \in V$.

Proof. Since $R = \mathbb{C}[\partial]V$ is a finitely generated and free $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module, we can assume that $d_\lambda(a) = \sum_{i=0}^n \partial^i d_\lambda^i(a)$ for any $a \in V$ and some non-negative integer n . If $n > 3$, by Theorem 4.3 and the condition that V is a simple Novikov algebra or there exists $x \in V$ such that $x \circ y = ky$ or $y \circ x = ky$ for any $y \in V$ and $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, we can get $d_\lambda^n(b) = 0$ for any $b \in V$. Therefore, for any $a \in V$, we can assume that $d_\lambda(a) = \sum_{i=0}^3 \partial^i d_\lambda^i(a)$. Then, by Theorem 4.3, (1) can be directly obtained.

Next, we prove (2). According to the above discussion, we get $d_\lambda(a) = \sum_{i=0}^3 \partial^i d_\lambda^i(a)$ for any $a \in V$. Then, by Theorem 4.3, (4.4)-(4.6) hold. Letting $a = x$ in (4.4) and (4.6), we get $d_\lambda^3(b) \circ x = kd_\lambda^3(b)$ and $2d_\lambda^3(b) \circ x + kd_\lambda^3(b) = 0$. Since $k \neq 0$, we get $d_\lambda^3(b) = 0$ for any $b \in V$. Therefore, we get $d_\lambda(a) = \sum_{i=0}^2 \partial^i d_\lambda^i(a)$ for any $a \in V$. Then, by Theorem 4.3, we get

$$(4.30) \quad d_\lambda^2(b \circ a) = d_\lambda^2(b) \circ a,$$

$$(4.31) \quad d_\lambda^2(a * b) = 2d_\lambda^2(b) \circ a + d_\lambda^2(b) * a,$$

$$(4.32) \quad b \circ d_\lambda^2(a) + d_\lambda^2(b) \circ a + 2d_\lambda^2(b) * a = 0,$$

$$(4.33) \quad d_\lambda^2(a) * b + d_\lambda^2(b) * a = 0.$$

By (4.30), from (4.31), we can get

$$(4.34) \quad d_\lambda^2(a \circ b) = 2d_\lambda^2(b) \circ a + a \circ d_\lambda^2(b).$$

Setting $a = x$ in (4.34), we get $d_\lambda^2(b) \circ x = 0$. Then, letting $a = x$ in (4.32), we obtain

$$(4.35) \quad b \circ d_\lambda^2(x) + 2kd_\lambda^2(b) = 0, \text{ for any } b \in V.$$

Letting $b = x$ in (4.35), we get $3kd_\lambda^2(x) = 0$. Therefore, $d_\lambda^2(x) = 0$. Then, by (4.35), we get $d_\lambda^2(b) = 0$ for any $b \in V$. Therefore, $d_\lambda(a) = d_\lambda^0(a) + \partial d_\lambda^1(a)$ for any $a \in V$. Then, by Theorem 4.3, we get that d^0 and d^1 satisfy (4.26)-(4.29).

By now, the proof is finished. $\square$

Corollary 4.6. *Let $R = \mathbb{C}[\partial]V$ be a quadratic Lie conformal algebra corresponding to the Novikov algebra $(V, \circ)$. Then, we have*

(1) *If V is a simple Novikov algebra or there exists $x \in V$ such that $b \circ x = kb$ for any $b \in V$ and some $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Then, any conformal derivation d of R must be of the following form: $d_\lambda(a) = \sum_{i=0}^3 \partial^i d_\lambda^i(a)$ for any $a \in V$, where $d_\lambda^i(a) \in V[\lambda]$ for $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ satisfy (4.4)-(4.16) with $[\cdot, \cdot]$ trivial.*

(2) *If for $(V, \circ)$, there exists $x \in V$ such that $x \circ b = kb$ for any $b \in V$ and some $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, then, any conformal derivation d of R is of the form $d_\lambda(a) = d_\lambda^0(a) + \partial d_\lambda^1(a)$ for any $a \in V$, where d^0 and d^1 satisfy*

$$(4.36) \quad d_\lambda^1(b \circ a) = d_\lambda^1(b) \circ a,$$

$$(4.37) \quad d_\lambda^1(a) * b = d_\lambda^1(b) * a,$$

$$(4.38) \quad d_\lambda^0(b \circ a) + \lambda d_\lambda^1(b \circ a) = b \circ d_\lambda^0(a) - \lambda b \circ d_\lambda^1(a) + d_\lambda^0(b) \circ a,$$

$$(4.39) \quad d_\lambda^0(b) \circ a - \lambda d_\lambda^1(b \circ a) = d_\lambda^0(a) \circ b - \lambda d_\lambda^1(a) \circ b,$$

for any $a, b \in V$.

Proof. For any $a \in V$, set $d_\lambda(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_a} \partial^i d_\lambda^i(a)$, where $d_\lambda^i(a) \in V[\lambda]$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_a\}$, and n_a is a non-negative integer depending on a . With the same process as in Theorem 4.3, (4.2) becomes

$$(4.40) \quad (\lambda + \partial)d_\lambda(b \circ a) + \mu d_\lambda(a * b) = [(d_\lambda a)_{\lambda+\mu} b] + [a_\mu (d_\lambda b)].$$

For fixed a, b , there are four elements of V under the actions of d_λ in (4.40). Therefore, we may assume the degrees of ∂ in $d_\lambda(b \circ a)$, $d_\lambda(a * b)$, $d_\lambda a$ and $d_\lambda b$ in (4.40) are smaller than some non-negative integer. So, we set $d_\lambda(b \circ a) = \sum_{i=0}^n \partial^i d_\lambda^i(b \circ a)$, $\dots$ and $d_\lambda(b) = \sum_{i=0}^n \partial^i d_\lambda^i(b)$. Obviously, n depends on a and b .

Taking them into (4.40), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda + \partial) \sum_{i=0}^n \partial^i d_\lambda^i(b \circ a) + \mu \sum_{i=0}^n \partial^i d_\lambda^i(a * b) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-\lambda - \mu)^i (\partial(b \circ d_\lambda^i(a)) + (\lambda + \mu) d_\lambda^i(a) * b) \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^n (\mu + \partial)^i (\partial(d_\lambda^i(b) \circ a) + \mu d_\lambda^i(b) * a). \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

If $n > 3$, by comparing the coefficients of $\mu^{n-1} \partial^2$ and $\mu^2 \partial^{n-1}$ in (4.41), we get

$$n d_\lambda^n(b) \circ a + C_n^2 d_\lambda^n(b) * a = 0, \quad C_n^2 d_\lambda^n(b) \circ a + n d_\lambda^n(b) * a = 0.$$

Therefore, $d_\lambda^n(b) \circ a = 0$ and $d_\lambda^n(b) * a = 0$. Repeating this process, we can get $d_\lambda^m(b) \circ a = d_\lambda^m(b) * a = 0$ for all $n \geq m > 3$.

By the discussion above, for any $a, b \in V$, we get $d_\lambda^m(b) \circ a = a \circ d_\lambda^m(b) = 0$ for all $m > 3$. By the condition that V is a simple Novikov algebra or there exists $x \in V$ such that $x \circ y = ky$ or $y \circ x = ky$ for any $y \in V$ and $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, we get $d_\lambda^n(b) = 0$ for any $b \in V$ and $m > 3$. Therefore, we can assume that $d_\lambda(a) = \sum_{i=0}^3 \partial^i d_\lambda^i(a)$, for any $a \in V$. Then, (1) and (2) can be directly obtained from Corollary 4.5. $\square$

Remark 4.7. Note that this corollary also holds when V is infinite-dimensional.

Remark 4.8. It should be pointed out that when $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite-dimensional Lie algebra, every conformal derivation d of $\text{Curg } \mathfrak{g}$ is of the form $d_\lambda(a) = p(\lambda)(\partial + \lambda)a + \tilde{d}_\lambda(a)$, where $\tilde{d}$ is an inner conformal derivation and $p(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$. This characterization can be referred to [8].

Finally, we will use the above results to study conformal derivations of some specific Lie conformal algebras.

Example 4.9. Let us use the method above to determine the conformal derivations of Vir . It is known that Vir is the quadratic Lie conformal algebra corresponding to $(V = \mathbb{C}L, \circ)$ where $L \circ L = L$. Obviously, L is a unit of V . Then, by Corollary 4.6, we can let $d_\lambda(L) = d_\lambda^0(L) + \partial d_\lambda^1(L)$. Set $d_\lambda^1(L) = A(\lambda)L$. Then, $\bar{d}_\lambda^0(L) = (d - ad(A(-\partial)L))_\lambda(L) \in V[\lambda]$. Obviously, $\bar{d}^0$ is a conformal derivation. Then, by Corollary 4.6, for determining $\bar{d}^0$, we only need to study the operator $T : V \rightarrow V$ such that $T(L \circ L) = L \circ T(L) + T(L) \circ L$. It is easy to see that $T = 0$. Thus, $\bar{d}^0 = 0$. Therefore, all conformal derivations of Vir are inner. This result can also be found in [8].

Example 4.10. Let $R(\alpha, \beta)$ be the Lie conformal algebra given in Example 3.10. Next, let us consider conformal derivations of $R(\alpha, \beta)$.

Since $L \circ L = L$, $W \circ L = W$, by Corollary 4.5, we get $n \leq 3$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.3, we only need to determine d^0 , d^1 , d^2 and d^3 satisfying (4.4)-(4.16). Here, we only consider the following cases, i.e. $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq -1$.

If $\alpha = 2$, we have $L \circ L = L$, $L \circ W = W$. Therefore, by Corollary 4.5, $n \leq 1$.

Next, let us consider the case that $\alpha \neq 2$, $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq -1$. First, let us determine d^3 . By Corollary 4.5, for determining d^3 , we only need to compute the operator $T : V \rightarrow V$ satisfying

$$(4.42) \quad T(b \circ a) = T(a \circ b) = T(b) \circ a,$$

$$(4.43) \quad b \circ T(a) = a \circ T(b),$$

$$(4.44) \quad 2T(b) \circ a + a \circ T(b) = 0,$$

where $a, b \in \{L, W\}$. It is easy to see that $T = 0$. Therefore, $d^3 = 0$. Thus, $n \leq 2$. Next, we determine d^2 . Similarly, for computing d^2 , we only need to study the operator $T : V \rightarrow V$ satisfying

$$(4.45) \quad T(b \circ a) = T(b) \circ a,$$

$$(4.46) \quad T(a * b) = 2T(b) \circ a + T(b) * a,$$

$$(4.47) \quad b \circ T(a) + T(b) \circ a + 2T(b) * a = 0,$$

$$(4.48) \quad T(a) * b + T(b) * a = 0,$$

where $a, b \in \{L, W\}$. It is also easy to see that $T = 0$. Therefore, $d^2 = 0$. Thus, $n \leq 1$.

Therefore, by the above discussion, we set $d = d^0 + \partial d^1$ as that in Theorem 4.3. Next, we consider d^1 . For obtaining d^1 , by (4.26) and (4.27), we only need to study the operator $T : V \rightarrow V$ satisfying the following equalities:

$$(4.49) \quad T(b \circ a) = T(b) \circ a,$$

$$(4.50) \quad T(a) * b = T(b) * a.$$

By a simple computation, T is of the following form: $T(L) = a_1L + a_2W$ and $T(W) = a_1W$ for any $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, d^1 is of the form as follows: $d_\lambda^1(L) = A(\lambda)L + B(\lambda)W$, $d_\lambda^1(W) = A(\lambda)W$ for any $A(\lambda), B(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$.

If $\alpha \neq 1$, $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq -1$, $(d - \text{ad}(A(-\partial)L + \frac{B(-\partial)}{\alpha-1}W))_\lambda(L) = d_\lambda^0(L) - 2A(\lambda)\lambda L - B(\lambda)(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\lambda - \beta\alpha - 1)W$, and $(d - \text{ad}(A(-\partial)L + \frac{B(-\partial)}{\alpha-1}W))_\lambda(W) = d_\lambda^0(W) - A(\lambda)(\alpha\lambda + \beta)W$. Therefore, let $\tilde{d}^0 = d - \text{ad}(A(-\partial)L + \frac{B(-\partial)}{\alpha-1}W)$, where $\tilde{d}_\lambda^0(a) \in V[\lambda]$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.3, we only need to determine all $\tilde{d}^0$ satisfying the following equalities

$$(4.51) \quad \tilde{d}_\lambda^0(b \circ a) = b \circ \tilde{d}_\lambda^0(a) + \tilde{d}_\lambda^0(b) \circ a,$$

$$(4.52) \quad \lambda \tilde{d}_\lambda^0(b \circ a) + \tilde{d}_\lambda^0[b, a] = \lambda(\tilde{d}_\lambda^0(a) * b) + [b, \tilde{d}_\lambda^0(a)] + [\tilde{d}_\lambda^0(b), a].$$

As above, by (4.51), we first study the operator $T : V \rightarrow V$ satisfying $T(b \circ a) = b \circ T(a) + T(b) \circ a$. It is easy to check that T is of the form : $T(L) = 0$ and $T(W) = c_2W$ for some $c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, $\tilde{d}_\lambda^0(L) = 0$ and $\tilde{d}_\lambda^0(W) = a(\lambda)W$ for some $a(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$. Replacing a, b by L, W in (4.52), we can easily obtain $a(\lambda) = 0$. Therefore, $\tilde{d}_\lambda^0(L) = 0$, $\tilde{d}_\lambda^0(W) = 0$ and (4.52) holds for other cases. So, in this case, $\text{CDer}(R(\alpha, \beta)) = \text{CInn}(R(\alpha, \beta))$.

If $\alpha = 1$, let $D = d - \text{ad}(A(-\partial)L)$. Then, it is easy to check that $D = D^0 + \partial D^1$ where $D_\lambda^i(a) \in \mathbb{C}[V]$ for any $i \in \{0, 1\}$, $a \in V$, and $D_\lambda^1(L) = B(\lambda)W$, $D_\lambda^1(W) = 0$. Next, we begin to determine D^0 . Set $D_\lambda^0(L) = E(\lambda)L + F(\lambda)W$. Then, replacing a, b by L, L in (4.28), we can obtain $E(\lambda)L + (\beta - \lambda)B(\lambda)W = 0$. Therefore, $B(\lambda) = E(\lambda) = 0$. So, $D^1 = 0$. Therefore, as the case that $\alpha \neq 1$, we first consider the operator $T : V \rightarrow V$ satisfying $T(b \circ a) = b \circ T(a) + T(b) \circ a$. It is easy to check that T is of the form : $T(L) = d_2W$ and $T(W) = e_2W$ for some $d_2, e_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, $D_\lambda^0(L) = F(\lambda)W$ and $D_\lambda^0(W) = G(\lambda)W$ for some $F(\lambda), G(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$. Replacing a, b by L, W in (4.52), we can easily obtain $G(\lambda) = 0$. Therefore, $D_\lambda^0(L) = F(\lambda)W$, $D_\lambda^0(W) = 0$ and (4.52) holds for other cases. If $F(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i(\lambda - \beta)^i$, then let $\gamma(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{i+1}(\lambda - \beta)^i$. Then, $D^0 = \text{ad}(\gamma(-\partial)W) + Q$, where $Q_\lambda(L) = a_0W$, $Q_\lambda(W) = 0$. Thus, $\text{CDer}(R(\alpha, \beta)) = \text{CInn}(R(\alpha, \beta)) \oplus M$, where M is the vector space spanned by Q , where $Q_\lambda(L) = cW$, $Q_\lambda(W) = 0$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Therefore, by the discussion above, when $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq -1$, $\text{CDer}(R(\alpha, \beta)) = \text{CInn}(R(\alpha, \beta)) \oplus M$, where M is the vector space spanned by Q , where $Q_\lambda(L) = c\delta_{\alpha,1}W$, $Q_\lambda(W) = 0$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Example 4.11. In this example, we use our method to determine the conformal derivations of loop Virasoro conformal algebra $\mathcal{LW} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}[\partial]L_i$ introduced in Example 3.11. The corresponding Novikov algebra is $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}L_i$ with the product $L_i \circ L_j = -L_{i+j}$ for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since $L_0 \circ L_j = -L_j$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, by Corollary 4.6, we get $n \leq 1$, i.e. $d_\lambda(L_i) = d_\lambda^0(L_i) + \partial d_\lambda^1(L_i)$. For determining d^1 , according to Corollary 4.6, we only need to study the operator $T : V \rightarrow V$ satisfying

$$(4.53) \quad T(L_i \circ L_j) = T(L_i) \circ L_j, \quad T(L_i) * L_j = T(L_j) * L_i.$$

Define $T_i(L_j) = \pi_{i+j}T(L_j)$ where in general π_i is the natural projection from V onto $\mathbb{C}L_i$. Therefore, $T = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} T_i$ in the sense that for any $x \in V$ only finitely many $T_i(x) \neq 0$. Thus, set $T_c(L_i) = f(i)L_{i+c}$. Replacing T by T_c and comparing

the coefficients of L_{i+j+c} , we get

$$(4.54) \quad f(i+j) = f(i), \quad f(i) = f(j),$$

for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $f(i) = k$, $k \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus, $d_\lambda^1(L_i) = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} A_c(\lambda) L_{i+c}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $\bar{d}^0 = d + ad(\sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} A_c(-\partial) L_c)$. Then, $\bar{d}_\lambda^0(L_i) \in V[\lambda]$ and $\bar{d}^0$ is a conformal derivation. Then, similar to the above, by Corollary 4.6, for determining $\bar{d}^0$, we only need to study $P_c : V \rightarrow V$ satisfying

$$(4.55) \quad P_c(L_i \circ L_j) = P_c(L_i) \circ L_j + L_i \circ P_c(L_j), \quad P_c(L_i) \circ L_j = P_c(L_j) \circ L_i,$$

where $P_c(L_i) = g(i) L_{i+c}$, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, by (4.55), we get

$$(4.56) \quad g(i+j) = g(i) + g(j), \quad g(i) = g(j),$$

for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $g(i) = 0$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $\bar{d}^0 = 0$. Hence, all conformal derivations of $\mathcal{LW}$ are inner. This is a result in [20].

REFERENCES

- [1] A.Barakat, A.De sole, V.Kac, Poisson vertex algebras in the theory of Hamiltonian equations, Japan. J. Math. **4**(2009), 141-252.
- [2] B.Bakalov, V.Kac, A.Voronov, Cohomology of conformal algebras, Comm.Math.Phys. **200** (1999), 561-598.
- [3] A.Belavin, A.Polyakov, A.Zamolodchikov, Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory, Nucl.Phys.B, **241** (1984), 333-380.
- [4] A.A.Balinskii, S.P.Novikov, Poisson brackets of hydrodynamical type, Frobenius algebras and Lie algebras, Doklady AN SSSR, 283(5)(1985),1036-1039.
- [5] R.Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA, **83** (1986), 3068-3071.
- [6] S.Cheng, V.Kac, Conformal modules, Asian J.Math. **1** (1997),181-193.
- [7] S.Cheng, V.Kac, and M.Wakimoto, Extensions of conformal modules, in:Topological Field Theory, Primitive Forms and Related Topics(Kyoto), in: Progress in Math., Vol.160,Birkhäuser,Boston,1998, pp.33-57; q-alg/9709019.
- [8] A.D'Andrea, V.Kac, Structure theory of finite conformal algebras, Selecta Math.,New ser. **4** (1998), 377-418.
- [9] I.Dorfman, Dirac Structures and Integrability of Nonlinear Evolution Equations: Nonlinear Science: Theory and Applications (Chichester: Wiley), 1993.
- [10] G.Z.Fan, Y.C.Su, H.N.Wu, Loop Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie conformal algebra, J. Math. Phys. **55**(2014), 123508.
- [11] I.M.Gel'fend, I.Ya.Dorfman, Hamiltonian operators and algebraic structures related to them, Funkts.Anal.Prilozhen, **13** (1979),13-30.
- [12] M.Gao, Y.Xu, X.Q.Yue, The Lie conformal algebra of a Block type Lie algebra, arXiv preprint arXiv:1210.6160.
- [13] Y.Y.Hong, F.Li, Virasoro-type Lie conformal algebras of rank 2, preprint.
- [14] V.Kac, Vertex algebras for beginners. 2nd Edition, Amer.Math.Soc.,Providence,RI,1998.
- [15] V.Kac, Formal distribution algebras and conformal algebras. Brisbane Congress in Math.Phys.,July 1997.
- [16] V.Kac, The idea of locality, in Physical Applications and Mathematical Aspects of Geometry, Groups and Algebras, edited by H.-D.Doebner et al. (World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 1997), 16-32.
- [17] J.M.Osborn, Novikov algebras, Nova J. Algebra & Geom. **1** (1992), 1-14.
- [18] Y.F.Pei, C.M.Bai, Novikov algebras and Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebras, J.Phys.A:Math. Theor. **44**(2011), 045201.
- [19] Y.C.Su, L.M.Yuan, Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie conformal algebra, J.Math.Phys. **54** (2013), 053503.
- [20] H.N.Wu, Q.F.Chen, X.Q.Yue, Loop Virasoro Lie conformal algebra, J.Math.Phys. **55**(2014), 011706.
- [21] X.P.Xu, Quadratic conformal superalgebras, J.Algebra, **231** (2000), 1-38.

- [22] X.P.Xu, Equivalence of conformal superalgebras to Hamiltonian superoperators, Algebra Colloq. **8** (2001), 63-92.
- [23] E.I.Zel'manov, On a class of local translation invariant Lie algebras, Soviet Math.Dokl. **35** (1987),216-218.

COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, ZHEJIANG AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, 311300,
P.R.CHINA

E-mail address: hongyanyong2008@yahoo.com